

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/824,787	ZAUDERER ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. (3) _____

(2) Tracey Muller. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006

Time: 3 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

84,119,121,123,158,164-166 and 210-214

Claims discussed:

84,119,121,123,158,164-166 and 210-214 and claim 215, which was not entered.

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent Application US 2002/0052308A1 (May 2, 2002)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Participants discussed possible claim amendments. A consensus was not agreed upon and consequently an allowance of the instant claims was not possible

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)